IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No **1738** of 2007

Kalpana S Mayekar .....Petitioner
vs
The State of Mah and ors .....Respondents

Mr P.R. Kadam for petitioner
Mr Milind More AGP for respondent No.1
Mr S.P.Thorat for respondent No.2
Ms P A Purandhare for respondent No. 3
Mr Yadunath Chaudhari for respondent No. 4.

CORAM: SWATANTER KUMAR,C.J.,&
DR D.Y. CHANDRACHUD,J.
DATE: 31st October, 2007.

P.C.:-

The only grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition is that as she was tenant in room no. 48 she is entitled to alternate accommodation in the redevelopment scheme which has been approved. Despite her name being shown as a tenant by her landlord having issued rent receipt, her name was not shown in the list of tenants. It is her case that despite such document her name has been deleted by MHADA and she has not been considered for providing alternate accommodation.

According to the learned counsel for the respective respondents, there are no proper documents produced by the petitioner prior to the relevant date i.e 30.6.1996. It is also

stated that if the petitioner produces some relevant documents showing that she was tenant prior to that cut off date before the concerned authorities, the authorities will consider the case of the petitioner and appropriate orders would be passed.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents we do not see any reason in keeping this petition pending further and the same stands disposed of with the observation that if the petitioner files relevant documents if any before the concerned authorities within four weeks from today, the concerned authorities are directed to consider the same within one week thereafter.

Petition is disposed of with the above directions with no order as to costs.

CHIEF JUSTICE

DR D.Y

CHANDRACHUD,J.